

Institut ekonomických studií

Fakulta sociálních věd, Karlova universita Praha

Referee report on the Bachelor/Master Thesis submitted to State Exam

Student Name:	Václav Hausenblas
Thesis Supervisor Name:	PhDr. Petr Švarc
Thesis Title:	Multiagentní počítačové simulace v ekonomii

Overall Evaluation:

Autor v práci prezentuje vlastní multiagentní model a simulace s tímto modelem. Model je rozšířením modelu publikovaného Wilhitem v roce 2006, dá se tedy říci, že se autor pohybuje ve velmi aktuální oblasti výzkumu.

Předností práce je to, že autor přesně používá pojmy a definice používané v analýze sítí. Práce je typická pro danou problematiku v tom, že obsahuje velké množství simulací, jejichž výsledky pak shrnuje na několika stránkách. To rozhodně není výtka, velmi oceňuji to, že autor si model sám naprogramoval a simulace provedl. O profesionálním přístupu svědčí i provedení testů v kap. 3.3 a 3.4.

Doporučuji práci k obhajobě a navrhuji hodnotit jako výbornou.

SUMMARY OF POINTS AWARDED (for the explanation of categories and scale, please, see below):

CATEGORY	POINTS
Quality of Research	30
Clarity and Readability	10
Content/Quality of Ideas	32
Organization & Development	15
Manuscript Form	5
TOTAL POINTS	92
LETTER GRADE	A-výborně

(Signature – Defense Opponent)

Doc. Ing. Tomáš Cahlík, CSc.

Evaluated on: 17. 7. 2008

Institut ekonomických studií

Fakulta sociálních věd, Karlova universita Praha

Referee report on the Bachelor/Master Thesis submitted to State Exam

Student Name:	Václav Hausenblas
Thesis Supervisor Name:	PhDr. Petr Švarc
Thesis Title:	Multiagentní počítačové simulace v ekonomii

Explanation of categories and scale:

QUALITY OF RESEARCH: The thesis demonstrates the author's full understanding and command of current literature and he/she uses it competently. The topic of the thesis is well structured and methods used are proper and relevant to the research question being investigated. A full and accurate analysis of thesis statement, from both a theoretical and applied perspective, is provided.

Strong				Middle				Weak	
30	27	24	21	18	15	12	8	4	0

CONTENT/QUALITY OF IDEAS: A range and depth of exposition; an appropriate sense of complexity of the topic; appropriate analysis of the thesis statement; and an accurate understanding of theoretical concepts is demonstrated. A full discussion of applicable and relevant theories stylized data is included. Original, creative thought is provided and evident. Demonstrates critical thinking and analysis with application of theory and student's ability to draw conclusions based on their knowledge, skills and research.

Strong				Middle				Weak	
40	36	32	28	24	20	15	10	5	0

ORGANIZATION AND DEVELOPMENT: The paper demonstrates a logical and clear arrangement of ideas; an effective use of transitions; a unity and coherence of paragraphs; and a clear development of ideas through supporting detail and evidence. The reader is successfully oriented to the subject, purpose, methodology, and structure of the report; an overview of the whole is included; the reader's attention and interest is engaged. The thesis statement is clearly and definitively stated without ambiguity. The conclusion is strong and reflective of the work as a whole.

Strong				Middle				Weak	
15	13	12	10	8	6	4	2	0	

CLARITY AND READABILITY: Ease of readability; appropriate use of language and style for the rhetorical content; clarity of sentences (reader doesn't get lost; minimum need for slowing down or re-reading) is appropriately demonstrated. Professional level of English expression is evident (limited amount of non-native language to English translation is detectable).

Strong				Middle				Weak	
10	9	8	7	6	5	3	2	1	0

MANUSCRIPT FORM: The appropriate manuscript form and style for the rhetorical content; a professional image; an appropriate use of headings and sub-headings; an appropriate format for graphs and tables; an effective referencing of graphs and tables in the text; complete and accurate bibliography documented to support the applied research; and the overall impact of document design is considered.

Strong				Middle		Weak	
5	4	3	2	1	0		